To: Helbick, Mike (Baldwin)[Mike_Helbick@baldwin.senate.gov]; Deamer, Eileen[deamer.eileen@epa.gov]; Beckmann, Ronna Erin[beckmann.ronna@epa.gov]  Cc: Thomas, Colleene (Baldwin)[Colleene_Thomas@baldwin.senate.gov]  From: Fortin, Denise  Sent: Mon 12/12/2016 10:43:30 PM  Subject: RE: Sen. Baldwin: Inquiry Carlson		
Thanks for your email, Mike. I should have some additional info for you tomorrow.		
Denise Fortin		
Congressional Liaison		
Office of Regional Administrator		
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency		
312-886-9859		
From: Helbick, Mike (Baldwin) [mailto:Mike_Helbick@baldwin.senate.gov]  Sent: Monday, December 12, 2016 1:17 PM  To: Fortin, Denise <fortin.denise@epa.gov>; Deamer, Eileen <deamer.eileen@epa.gov>; Beckmann, Ronna Erin <beckmann.ronna@epa.gov> Cc: Thomas, Colleene (Baldwin) <colleene_thomas@baldwin.senate.gov> Subject: RE: Sen. Baldwin: Inquiry Carlson</colleene_thomas@baldwin.senate.gov></beckmann.ronna@epa.gov></deamer.eileen@epa.gov></fortin.denise@epa.gov>		
Denise and all,		
Thank you for all the great information! I just have some follow-up questions:		
•□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□		

"direct" role. If constituents believe there are issues that arise during this permitting process, or if the permits are granted and people want to object, can you share information on how they may

convey concerns to EPA or object to permits granted? We just want to make sure that
constituents know all avenues open to them to relay their concerns.

•□□□□□□□□ Also, the EPA letter of 11/2/16 regarding the EPA's review of the Draft NPDES Permit has recommendations – are these binding or voluntary? The letter directs MDEQ to forward the proposed permit, once prepared, to EPA. Does this mean that EPA plans to respond to MDEQ with official, concrete approval/disapproval before MDEQ issues the permit?

Any information you can share will be greatly appreciated.

Thank you,

Mike

## Mike Helbick

## Office of U.S. Senator Tammy Baldwin

633 W. Wisconsin Avenue | Suite 1920

Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53203

Mike Helbick@baldwin.senate.gov

(414) 297-4451 main | (800) 247-5645 toll free

Keep up to date by following Tammy online:



From: Fortin, Denise [mailto:Fortin.Denise@epa.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, December 7, 2016 3:25 PM

**To:** Thomas, Colleene (Baldwin) < Colleene Thomas@baldwin.senate.gov >; Deamer, Eileen < deamer.eileen@epa.gov >; Helbick, Mike (Baldwin) < Mike Helbick@baldwin.senate.gov >;

Beckmann, Ronna Erin <br/> <br/>beckmann.ronna@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Sen. Baldwin: Inquiry -- Carlson

C - 11	1	O	N 4:	1
COL	leene	X.	VI1	ĸe:

A decision by a local unit of government to prohibit the siting of a facility within a source water protection area would be taken pursuant to the local unit of government's own power to regulate land use. SDWA does not confer any such power on local units of government. A local prohibition would be enforced by the local government and would not trigger any federal response under SDWA.

SDWA contains provisions related to source water protection, including provisions that relate to state requirements to conduct assessments of source water quality and state or local efforts to create voluntary, incentive-based protection partnerships. (42 U.S.C. 300j-13 and 300j-14.)

Please let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks,

Denise

Denise Fortin

Congressional Liaison

Office of Regional Administrator

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

312-886-9859

From: Thomas, Colleene (Baldwin) [mailto:Colleene Thomas@baldwin.senate.gov]

Sent: Monday, December 05, 2016 3:37 PM

**To:** Fortin, Denise < Fortin. Denise @epa.gov >; Deamer, Eileen < deamer.eileen @epa.gov >; Helbick, Mike (Baldwin) < Mike Helbick@baldwin.senate.gov >; Beckmann, Ronna Erin

< beckmann.ronna@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Sen. Baldwin: Inquiry -- Carlson

Thanks Denise.

From: Fortin, Denise [mailto:Fortin.Denise@epa.gov]

Sent: Monday, December 5, 2016 4:23 PM

**To:** Thomas, Colleene (Baldwin) < <u>Colleene Thomas@baldwin.senate.gov</u>>; Deamer, Eileen < <u>deamer.eileen@epa.gov</u>>; Helbick, Mike (Baldwin) < <u>Mike Helbick@baldwin.senate.gov</u>>;

Beckmann, Ronna Erin < beckmann.ronna@epa.gov >

Subject: RE: Sen. Baldwin: Inquiry -- Carlson

FYI, Colleene - we're checking with our SDWA attorneys on your follow-up questions. I should have answers for you tomorrow.

Denise

Denise Fortin

Congressional Liaison

Office of Regional Administrator

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

312-886-9859

From: Thomas, Colleene (Baldwin) [mailto:Colleene Thomas@baldwin.senate.gov]

Sent: Thursday, December 01, 2016 2:49 PM

**To:** Deamer, Eileen <<u>deamer.eileen@epa.gov</u>>; Fortin, Denise <<u>Fortin.Denise@epa.gov</u>>; Helbick, Mike (Baldwin) <<u>Mike\_Helbick@baldwin.senate.gov</u>>; Beckmann, Ronna Erin <beckmann.ronna@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Sen. Baldwin: Inquiry -- Carlson

Thanks Eileen, this is helpful.

If a local ordinance was passed to prohibit the citing of a facility within a source water protection area, would that invoke federal protections of any sort (ie under SDWA)? Or are you suggesting it would be the community's own regulatory authority that would protect the water? If it is the case that SDWA can be invoked in that way, can you share info on that process? And do you know if a community in a state other than the state in which the pollutant originates can leverage that protection?

Thanks,

Colleene

From: Deamer, Eileen [mailto:deamer.eileen@epa.gov]

Sent: Thursday, December 1, 2016 3:44 PM

**To:** Thomas, Colleene (Baldwin) < Colleene Thomas@baldwin.senate.gov >; Fortin, Denise < Fortin.Denise@epa.gov >; Helbick, Mike (Baldwin) < Mike Helbick@baldwin.senate.gov >;

Beckmann, Ronna Erin < beckmann.ronna@epa.gov >

Subject: RE: Sen. Baldwin: Inquiry -- Carlson

Colleene - I checked in with our drinking water branch, and there is nothing in the SDWA that requires permit reviews or prohibits adverse discharges to a community's water source. For example, there are discharges to Lake Michigan – which supplies drinking water to millions of people in bordering areas. Source water protection is voluntary, unless the community passes a local ordinance prohibiting the citing of a facility within its source water protection area.

Thanks,

Eileen

From: Thomas, Colleene (Baldwin) [mailto:Colleene Thomas@baldwin.senate.gov]  Sent: Thursday, December 01, 2016 11:09 AM  To: Deamer, Eileen <a href="mailto:deamer.eileen@epa.gov">deamer.eileen@epa.gov</a> ; Fortin, Denise <a href="mailto:Fortin.Denise@epa.gov">Fortin.Denise@epa.gov</a> ; Helbick, Mike (Baldwin) <a href="mailto:Mike_Helbick@baldwin.senate.gov">Mike_Helbick@baldwin.senate.gov</a> ; Beckmann, Ronna Erin <a href="mailto:beckmann.ronna@epa.gov">beckmann.ronna@epa.gov</a> > Subject: RE: Sen. Baldwin: Inquiry Carlson
That does help, thank you.
My question still stands on whether there are Safe Drinking Water Act provisions that would also come in to play given that communities source their water from the rivers that could potentially be impacted by discharge? Perhaps source protection provisions?
If a call is easier for that, I'm free before 4:30 CST.
Thanks,
Colleene
From: Deamer, Eileen [mailto:deamer.eileen@epa.gov]  Sent: Thursday, December 1, 2016 11:47 AM  To: Thomas, Colleene (Baldwin) < Colleene Thomas@baldwin.senate.gov>; Fortin, Denise < Fortin.Denise@epa.gov>; Helbick, Mike (Baldwin) < Mike Helbick@baldwin.senate.gov>; Beckmann, Ronna Erin < beckmann.ronna@epa.gov>  Subject: RE: Sen. Baldwin: Inquiry Carlson

Denise is out today so I followed up on your question below.

Hi Colleene –

The company has withdrawn its application, which ends the review process. If, as we expect, the company submits a new application, the process and timelines would begin again.

Does that help? We're happy to have a call with you today if you need additional details.

Thanks,

Eileen Deamer

(312) 886-1728

From: Thomas, Colleene (Baldwin) [mailto:Colleene Thomas@baldwin.senate.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 6:00 PM

**To:** Fortin, Denise < Fortin. Denise@epa.gov >; Helbick, Mike (Baldwin)

<Mike Helbick@baldwin.senate.gov>; Deamer, Eileen <deamer.eileen@epa.gov>; Beckmann,

Ronna Erin < beckmann.ronna@epa.gov >

Subject: RE: Sen. Baldwin: Inquiry -- Carlson

Hi Denise.

Thanks for this quick reply! In the second document, dated 8/15/16 on the CWA permit, the letter lays out requirements that must be met under the CWA if the permit is allowed to proceed, and says that if they are not met in 90 days, authority over permit issuance would transfer to the Army Corps. By my count we are past 90 days—can you help us understand what the status of MDEQ's response is?

The Marinette River is a source of drinking water for several thousand people. Does anything in the Safe Drinking Water Act or other laws require certain per-permit issuance reviews or restrict

discharges that could endanger that drinking water source?
Thanks,
Colleene
From: Fortin, Denise [mailto:Fortin.Denise@epa.gov]  Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 4:37 PM  To: Helbick, Mike (Baldwin) < Mike Helbick@baldwin.senate.gov >; Deamer, Eileen < deamer.eileen@epa.gov >; Beckmann, Ronna Erin < beckmann.ronna@epa.gov >  Cc: Thomas, Colleene (Baldwin) < Colleene Thomas@baldwin.senate.gov >  Subject: RE: Sen. Baldwin: Inquiry Carlson
Thanks, Mike. I hope you had a great holiday, too!
I've spoken with staff in both our NEPA and Water Divisions and EPA does not have a direct role in this project. All permit decisions rest with MDEQ or MI DNR. The federal Clean Water Act permit responsibilities under NPDES, Section 401 and Section 404 are exercised by MDEQ with EPA oversight. Likewise, MDEQ has federal Clean Air Act permit responsibilities with EPA oversight. For your information, I've attached EPA's recent oversight comment letters to MDEQ on NPDES, 404, and air.
Also, there are no federal agency decisions on this mine project that triggers NEPA. I've attached a reply to a letter from a citizen who requested an environmental impact statement be prepared under NEPA. This letter includes cc's to MDEQ staff who would be the appropriate state contacts for this mine.
Hope this is helpful. Please let me know if you need anything else.
Thanks,

Denise
Denise Fortin
Congressional Liaison
Office of Regional Administrator
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
312-886-9859
From: Helbick, Mike (Baldwin) [mailto:Mike_Helbick@baldwin.senate.gov]  Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 10:30 AM  To: Fortin, Denise <fortin.denise@epa.gov>; Deamer, Eileen <deamer.eileen@epa.gov>; Beckmann, Ronna Erin <beckmann.ronna@epa.gov> Cc: Thomas, Colleene (Baldwin) <colleene_thomas@baldwin.senate.gov> Subject: Sen. Baldwin: Inquiry Carlson</colleene_thomas@baldwin.senate.gov></beckmann.ronna@epa.gov></deamer.eileen@epa.gov></fortin.denise@epa.gov>
Denise and all,
I hope you had a great Thanksgiving. ☺
Attached is a constituent's concerns regarding the Back Forty Gold Mine in MI. A couple quick questions:
1) What, if any, role does EPA play in this permitting process (is there any sign-off at all needed by EPA on this permitting or otherwise)?

2) How may concerned constituents object to permitting via EPA (and also MI DEQ / DNR, if you happen to know - or if you can provide contacts for relevant folks in those agencies, that

would be great)?

Thank you very much,

Mike

## Mike Helbick

## Office of U.S. Senator Tammy Baldwin

633 W. Wisconsin Avenue | Suite 1920

Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53203

Mike Helbick@baldwin.senate.gov

(414) 297-4451 main | (800) 247-5645 toll free

Keep up to date by following Tammy online:

